

3
App F IX-4

Previous References

43-48-27 28
CM. 9-52-56, 10, 11, 14, 15, 16, 17,
39-46-46, 47,

Later References

1954-55
(C M)

File No 17-54-

Serial Nos 1, 2, 5

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos Three

Part B for January 1955

SUBJECT

Construction of the I floor over
the front Row of the Sri Krishnarajendra
Market, Bangalore city and restoration of
10% cut

WD 06701-GPB-25 000-11 8 54

To,
The Commissioner, Corporation of the city of Bangalore
1 Chief Engineer
4 Controller S A A,
4 Auditor, Corporation of the city of Bangalore

L 12612-15/ dated 13/1/55

(126/1/55)

Sanctions

To Ramesh Kumar
18/1/55

Serial
No

To

The Commissioner C.A.
Letter no B3 C 490/49-50 2 24 852
Request sanction to Recorder
to go to L B/16-19/CM 9-52-17 2 14-5 52
allowing 10% cut in the tender rates
of M/s S Venkataswami & N Venkataswami for the
Construction of the 1st floor over the
front Row of the Ranganatha Market
Bangalore City

Submitted

1

The original estimate
for Constructing 1st floor to the R.R.
Market is for Rs 1,16,000/- This work
was distributed between Mr N. M. Venkataswami
and Mr S. Venkataswami as per tender
rate vide GO dated 13-3-47 (Flag A)
The work was not taken up by the
Contractors for want of certain
enhanced rates in certain items
Therefore re-tenders were called for
during 1949 for the same work of the
tenders received, the tenders of M/s
N. M. Venkataswami and S. Venkataswami were
the lowest when the question of accepting
the tenders of M/s N. M. & S. V. was

Flag A
1st 11

four

Rs 75152 1/2
Para 3 of 123
GO 43 48 28
Note - Contn Sheet

Allowing 10% cut made in the tender rate for the Construction

File No 3917/mk

3rd floor to K R Market
of 195

Mysore Government
Secretariat

before the Council, S/o NH & S
have agreed in writing to accept
the work at 10% less than their
tender rate

Tender rate Rs 1,51,524/2 against Rs 1,60,000/2

Reduced rate at 10% Rs 1,36,372

No Contractor agrees at the reduced
rate as ~~the~~ there was considerable
raise in the amount as against
original estimate and also of the
fact that their tender may not
be accepted being ~~disputed~~
as they failed ~~to~~ to execute
once this tender was accepted
by Government on their order dated
7-5-49 (Page B)

2)

Now the work has
been completed and the Government
have approved in their order dated
24-5-54 the modified estimate
for Rs 1,50,800/2. The recommendation
to restore the 10% cut as ~~requested~~
~~for~~ was rejected. The Commissioner
CEB has as, I requested to
reconsider the order L 19/6-19/CM
9-52-17 dated 14-5-54 ~~allowing 10% for~~
allowing 10% disallowance and
to sanction the estimate for Rs 1,77,000

Serial
No

Page B
Page 11

Page C
Page 27

Page C

Allowing 10% cut made in the tender rate for
the Construction of K & R market [App F III 2]
File No. of 195 3)

Serial
No
3)

The original estimate is for Rs 116 000
Re-tender rate is less 10% i.e. Rs 104 400
modified estimate is for Rs 150 800
to cover for the above figures there is
sharp increase in the estimate the C.E.
is of opinion (para 24 of Contract CM 9-52-17)
that the tender rate is 40 to 50%
higher than the sometimes schedule rates
in the circumstances there may not be any
loss to the Contractors more than all
they have agreed there the extra amount
may not deserve reconsideration
The Commissioner is requesting
reconsideration on the strength
of his resolution S-3-S/ dated 8-3-51
which has already been considered
by Government while passing orders
on S-54 (Key C) This has not
been considered by the Corporation
for and therefore the request is not
maintainable for orders.

10/9-51
13/9

4

The history of the case can be very well known by
perusing the notes in the collection placed below (vide
collection CM 9-52-17) The opinion of the Chief Engineer
has been obtained and the Government were guided by his

Key F

Key C

11/11/54 10% Cut made in the tender rate for the
Construction of 1st floor - K P market - Bangalore
File No 3917/nk of 195

My ore Government
Secretariat

technical advise The L S G Secretary has noted
that the observations of the Chief Engineer though
technical are quite material and that from a perusal
of the note it is obvious that the work has been
executed in a manner not quite correct either tech-
nically or financially The parties themselves had
agreed to execute the work at 10% less than the lowest
tender, (Vide G O No 12980-2/ML 43-48-28 dated
7-5-49) and thus got the work entrusted to them
When once they had agreed to execute the work at a
particular rate, they are bound to carry out the work at
that rate The Chief Engineer has stated that even
with the reduction of 10% of the lowest tender, the
rates work out 40% to 50% over the schedule rate
This clearly shows the extent to which the high rates
were quoted by the tenderers However the Municipal
Council was not right in passing a resolution in the
year 1951 that the 10% cut must be restored The
orders passed seem to be quite reasonable and they
have the financial concurrence also There are no
reasons to revise the orders already passed

Serial
No

Flag B

Flag "C"

17 1 1

11

13

1954

DEPARTMENT

Secy Let-1)

2) I have written more to add
G O K Ba

11/11/54 6)

8

10/10/54

13/11

13/11

Allowing the 10% cut made in the tender rate for new
Construction of 1st floor, R.R. market - Bangalore
3914/mk File No. of 195

Serial
No

7

Draft is for approval

de 18/10/54 - 20/10

Issue
Done

Done Commence work as per
L 9111/CM 17-54 2 22 26-10-54
State as reject

P3
26 10 54

9) Shri S Venkatappa interviewed me on the 29th October 1954. He represented that the arrears pertaining to the construction of the first floor of the Sri Krishnarajendra Market, Bangalore City may be paid to him. The contractors had originally agreed to execute work at 10 per cent less than the tendered rates. The Corporation have on a representation made by the Contractor recommended that the 10 per cent cut may be restored and that the estimate/sanctioned for Rs 1,77,000/- may be. The estimate has been scrutinised by the Chief Engineer for Rs 1,50,800/- and he has pointed out that the tender rates sanctioned by Government and agreed to by the Contractors are seen to be 40 per cent to 50 per cent higher than the sanctioned schedule rates of Buildings Division, Bangalore, for the year 1949-50, the period during which the work is stated to have been executed. He has therefore effected a ten percent reduction in respect of all the tendered items of work.

10) The Corporation is a local self-governing authority. It has officers who are high placed and responsible. Government need interfere only in cases where on the face of it, gross

Construction of first floor over the front room
8th K R Market Bangalore - Rehabilitation
10% cut

File No 3917/M 5958 of 195 '3917/111

My ore Government
Secretariat

is
injustice or obvious partiality/apparent

11) In order to settle the present claim of Shri S Venkatappa,
I suggest that the Mayor and the Municipal Commissioner may discuss
this matter with the Minister for L S G and the Minister for Revenue
They may consider the issue and pass final orders

Serial
No

C	of the Chief Minister
No	633
Date of Receipt	5/11/54

5/11/54

5 11 54

13/11/54

12) for personal and instructions
as to the date on which the Comr + Mayor
have to meet the Minister

Minister's reply 13/11/54

OFFICE OF THE MINISTER FOR PUBLIC HEALTH & LOCAL SELF GOVERNMENT
13 NOV 1954
No 3659

14) 3rd Dec 1954 at 2 Pm would suit the
Minister for P & L S G

PA to Minister for P & L S G
PA to Minister for P & L S G

15) on 17-12-54 at 4 Pm

16) Date and time (17/12/54 at 4 Pm)
suits the Minister for P & L S G
The Mayor and the MC may please be
addressed (Ref Para 11)

Asst Secy, ME
WD 04FC1-GPB-60000-22 1 54
Seen 15/12/54

OFFICE OF THE MINISTER FOR PUBLIC HEALTH & LOCAL SELF GOVERNMENT
12 DEC 1954
No 4152

Mysore Government
Secretariat

File No. 5952 of 195
10 Percent cut
[App F III 2]
(7)

Serial
No
18

OFFICE OF THE MINISTER FOR
PUBLIC HEALTH LOCAL SELF
GOVERNMENT
16 DEC 1954

No

Regd is in original

19) Issue now

16/12

8th Commissioner DOB

" Mayor DOB

L 11524-5 of 16-12-54

Request to check the number reg
in his chambers on 17-12-54 at 4 PM

Cy to the PA to the Minister LSG

" - " - Number for

" - PC - Security doc

20

The file was sent to
referred to the PA to the Minister
LSG Two copies of typed note were
also submitted placed in the file

16/12

PA
to Minister
16/12

The Minister for Revenue and Public Works and I
reviewed the matter on 17th December 1954 The Corpora-
tion Commissioner was also present The Contractors,
Sris S.Venkatappa and N Munivenkatappa who had origina-
lly

8

File No 5758/mk

of 195

Mysore Government
Secretariat

Serial
No

agreed to execute the work at 10 per cent less than the tendered rates. However, on a representation made by the Contractors, ^{the Corporation} recommended that the ten per cent cut might be restored and that the estimate might be sanctioned for Rs 1,77,000/- . The estimate was ^{however} scrutinised by the Chief Engineer for Rs 1,50,800/- and he effected a ten per cent reduction in respect of all the tendered items of work with certain observations. The work has been completed and the Contractors have now represented that they have incurred heavy loss, that they were forced to accept 10 per cent cut in their tendered rates much against their will and that the cut might be restored and their final claims settled accordingly. The Corporation have, after a consideration of the request of the Contractors have recommended, agreeing with the recommendations of the Standing Committee (Taxation and Finance) and the Standing Committee (Works) that the 10 per cent of the tender amount deducted in the tenders of the Contractors ^{might} be paid to them.

On a careful consideration of the several aspects of the case, we feel that the request of the Contractors for restoration of the ten per cent cut is reasonable and may be complied with. We order accordingly.

Rev + P.D. Khan
Chief Engineer

J
22/12/54

4
22/12

22 12 54
22/12

VP 04861-GPB-GO 000-22-1 54
L S. Khan

22 DEC 17 A
3375

EDUCATION S
11950
22 DEC 10
TTO

1st floor - K.R. Rarket - notation 8/10/ cut

General and Revenue
Secretariat

5958' me File No

of 19 9 [App I III-2
WD 060 1-GPB-2 000-20-6 49

Serial
No.

26

Draft 30 '8 for approval

27/12/53
30/12

27) Done
20

Two Cxxxxxx cep

a CR

a Certificate of

a Bonds cep

L. 12612-157 en 17 54-5 or 13-1-53
See below for 10, cut

3

15/1/53

File No

of 19

General and Revenue
Secretariat


Serial
No

①

CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF BANGALORE.

No B3 C 490/49-50

Enc 3 files 42



Dated 24th August 1954

FROM

THE COMMISSIONER

CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF BANGALORE,

To

C M 17-54-1

The Secretary to Government of Mysore,
L S G and Planning Departments,
Bangalore

S. M. S.
28/8
Sik

Subject - CONSTRUCTION OF THE 1st FLOOR OVER THE
FRONT ROW OF THE SRI KRISHNARAJENDRA
MARKET, BANGALORE CITY

Adverting to Govt order No L 1916-19/C M 9-52-17
dated 14-5-1954 sanctioning the modified estimate for
Rs. 1,50,800/- for the above work, I write to state as follows

M/s S Venkatappa and N Venkatappa were the original
tendered contractors for the construction of the First floor,
City Market in the year 1946. The work was just started and
left without any other work till the year 1948 for some reason
or other. Fresh tenders were called for this work in the
year 1948. But the same contractors happened to be the
lowest tendered contractors. The Municipal Council accepted
the rates quoted by them on 21-1-1949 with 10% less than the
tendered rates. Approval of Government was obtained for
this resolution.

When the work was nearing completion the two contractors
requested to give them enhanced rates for certain additional
works. They also stated that they were incurring heavy loss,
that they were forced to accept this 10% cut in their tendered
rates against their will and that if the original rates were
restored to them they would not put forth any further claims
and complete the work early. These facts were placed before
the Municipal Council who at their meeting held on 12-11-1949
waived the 10% cut - vide copy of the resolution, enclosed

Flag 0


- 2 -

Accordingly a modified estimate for Rs.1,77,000/- was sent to Government for sanction. But the Government sanctioned the estimate for Rs.1,50,800/- only deleting the 10 % for want of sanction of Government thereto. The contractors are not agreeable to get their final claims settled as per estimate sanctioned by Government for Rs.1,50,800/- deleting 10 % and they request that 10 % cut be restored for the reasons specified in their petition dated 7-7-1954. The Corporation at their meeting held on 8-3-1951 have also resolved that the 10% of the tendered amount deducted in the tenders of the above named contractors be paid to them - vide copy of the resolution enclosed. *Flag E*


I request that the Government may be pleased to sanction the modified estimate for Rs.1,77,000 restoring the 10% cut so as to enable me to settle the claims of the contractors in view of the peculiar ~~xx~~ circumstances of the case.

All the connected files are herein enclosed for reference and return when done with.

Forwarded with compliments


(H S Seetharam,)
MAYOR

Yours faithfully,


(K Henjerappa,)
COMMISSIONER


TNL/-

Urgent Subject No 1

Commissioner's memo regarding the construction of the
Market First Floor

Resolution - Commissioner's memo is accepted subject to the
modification that the date fixed for the delivery of
the building be changed to 16th Jan'y 1950 and the
words "inclusive of the deviations" be incorporated in
the first condition and 10 percent cut may be waived

Sri G Nanjappa observed that in case the
contractors fail to hand over the building on the date fixed,
they should be made liable to pay the Municipality all the loss
that the Municipality may have to undergo on account of the delay
in the completion of the building. He requested that a suitable
agreement binding themselves to make good the loss should be
obtained from the contractors


Sri K Shamaraja Iyengar suggested that the date already
proposed by the Commissioner need not be changed and it be retained
and the contractors directed to complete the building within
that date

Janab A J Khaleel supporting the resolution suggested that
a proper agreement should be obtained from the contractors and the
work should be got done before the end of the year and if necessary
the contractor may be directed to engage people in two shifts and
see to the completion of the building

Sri G S Devadoss suggested that in case the contractor did
not hand over the building on the said date he should be made
responsible for all the loss and the same should be recovered from
him. He suggested that a suitable agreement as in Military
Departments should be obtained from the Contractor

Sd R Subbanna,
Honorary President

"Copy"

For Commissioner


TNL/-
25/8/54

4

Resolution passed at the Meeting of the Corporation of the
City of Bangalore, held on 8th March 1951

42 Recommendation of the Standing Committee (Taxation & Finance) dated 28th December 1950, and of the Standing Committee (Works) dated 28th November 1950, that the 10 per cent of the tender amount deducted in the tenders of Messrs S Venkatappa and N Munivenkatappa, Contractors, for the construction of first floor over Sri Krishna-rajendra Market be paid to them in the circumstances of the case explained

Sri H S Seetharam moved the following resolution -

"Resolved that the recommendation of the Standing Committee (Taxation and Finance) dated 28th December 1950, and of the Standing Committee (Works), dated 28th November 1950 that the 10 per cent of the tender amount deducted in the tenders of Messrs S Venkatappa and N Munivenkatappa, contractors, for the construction of first floor over Sri Krishnarajendra Market be paid to them in the circumstances of the case explained, be approved "

Sri Basavalingappa seconded the resolution and it was passed unanimously

Sd M Jayaseelan,
Deputy Mayor

"Copy"

N. Seetharam
For Commissioner

25/8/51

597

5)

To L 9111 / a m 17-54-2
 L: Records 2/26 10 54
 The Commission CCB
 through the Mayor,

Sir

Sub Construction of the
 1st floor over the front
 row of - Sri Krishnarajendra
 Hanke Bangalore and
Restoration of 10% cut

With reference
 to your letter no B3 C 490/
 49-50 dated 26-8-1954
 requesting sanction to
 restore the ~~to~~ to the
 undepreciated estimate for Rs 1,77,000/-
 as against the sanctioned
 estimate for Rs 1,50,800/- with
 a view to restore the 10/
 cut to the ~~contractors~~ Contractors,
 I am directed to state
 that the Contractors themselves
 had agreed to execute the work
 at 10% less than the lowest
 tender rate when once they

6)

have agreed to execute
the work at a particular
rate, they are bound to
carry out the work at that
rate. The C.F. has ~~also~~
observed that even in the
revision of 10% of the rate
invest tender, the rates
work out to 40% to 50%
over the schedule rate.

In view of the circumstances, I am to
state ~~that~~ ~~the~~ ~~rate~~, ~~there appears that there are no reasons~~
~~to be so grounds for to revise the~~
~~reconsideration of the orders~~
~~order (no L 1916-19/CM 95217~~
~~dated 26.5.1957) already passed~~

Y Y

L 2012-13
to Secretary
1851 H. 2012-13

Thus with 5126 10 54
The Controller S.A.D.
The Auditor C.E.B.

15/12/57

1851 H. 2012-13

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

(7)

No L 9111/c H 17-54-2

Mysore Government Secretariat,
(Municipal Branch)
Dated Bangalore 26.10.1954

FROM

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore
L S G & Planning Departments

To

The Commissioner,
Corporation of the City of Bangalore
(thro' the Mayor)

Sir,

Subject: Construction of the first floor over the
front row of S i Prishnarajendra Market,
Bangalore, and restoration of 10% cut
- - - -

With reference to your letter No B3 C 490/49-50 dated 24th August 1954 requesting sanction to the modified estimate for Rs 1,77,000/- as against the sanctioned estimate for Rs 1,50,800/- with a view to restore the 10% cut to the contractors, I am directed to state that the contractors themselves had agreed to execute the work at 10% less than the lowest tender. When once they have agreed to execute the work at a particular rate, they are bound to carry out the work at that rate. The Chief Engineer has observed that even with the reduction of 10% of the lowest tender, the rates work out to 40% to 50% over the scheduled rate.

In the circumstances, I am to state that there are no reasons to revise the orders already passed.

Yours faithfully,

SD (V Hanumanthappa)
for Secretary to Government,
L S G & Planning Departments

knr-5/22 X Memo No L 9112-13/c H 26.10.54

Copy of the above is forwarded to -

- 1 The Controller, State Accounts Department, Bangalore.
- 2 The Auditor, Corporation of the City of Bangalore


— Hanumanthappa 22/10/54
(V Hanumanthappa)
for Secretary to Government,
L S G & Planning Departments

3917

CM 17-542

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF MINISTER
NOTEDated 17th November, 1954
20

M 443



25
20/11
Sri M S
20/11

On 29th October, at the time of the general interview, Sri S Venkatappa, Contractor represented that Government had not viewed his request favourably in the matter of payment of 10 per cent cut made in the tender rate for the construction of first floor, Krishnarajendra Market, Bangalore. The Honorable Chief Minister observed that Government need interfere only in cases where on the face of it, gross injustice or obvious partiality is apparent. He was, however, pleased to suggest that, in order to settle this claim, the Mayor and the Commissioner, Corporation of the City of Bangalore may discuss the subject with the P. W. Minister and the Minister for L S G and pass ~~and~~ ^{order} orders.

By Order,

Chamara
Personal Secretary to Chief Minister
17/11/54

L S G Secretary for information

G R S App F G 3

GENERAL AND FINANCIAL
SECRETARIAT

(Continuation sheet draft form)

Immortal (Hudson)
L 11544-5
16-12-52

So

Mr Mayor, 10/10/52
Dear Sir

On

On 10/10/52 the
Mayor of Portland
restoration of the
10/1 cut

Re: G.O. No L 1916-19/CM
9-52-17 dated 24-5-52
and G.O. No L 9111 CM 17-54-2
dated 26-10-54


On 5/11/54
interviewed the Mayor
on 23-10-1954 and represented
the restoration of the
10/1 cut effected in the

10)

orders read above the
 Chief Minister was pleased
 to suggest that in order to settle
 the issue, the Mayor and the
 Commissioner may discuss
 the subject with the Minister
 in P.N. and then the Minister
 to L.S.G.

I am sure
 to regret that you do
 not seem to be able to meet the
 Minister to L.S.G. in
 his chambers on 12/12/54
 at 4 P.M.

The Minister to P.N.
 will also ~~be present~~ in person
 at that time

N L

 16/12/54
 P.N. Secy

2115468
 16-12-54

Rel. to the P.A. to the Minister
 " " " " P.N.
 " " P.C. - only 1st

16/12/54

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

Mysore Government
General and Revenue Secretariat

Dated Bangalore 6 December 1954

No L. 11544-5

From

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore
L.S.G. & Planning Departments

To

The Commissioner, Corporation of the City of Bangalore,
The Mayor, Corporation of the City of Bangalore

Sir,

Subject:- Construction of the first floor, L.S. Market -
Restoration of 10% cut.

Ref: Government Order No.L 1716-17/C 1.9-52-17 dated 24-5-1954
and letter No.L.1111/C 17-54-2 dated 26-10-1954.

Shri B. Venkatappa interviewed the Chief Minister on 29-10-1954 and represented for the restoration of the 10% cut effected in the orders read above. The Chief Minister was pleased to suggest that in order to settle the claim, the Mayor and the Commissioner may discuss the subject with the Minister for Public Works and the Minister for Local Self-Government.

2 I am, therefore, to request you to be so good as to meet the Minister for Public Health and Local Self-Government in his chambers on 17-12-1954 at 4 P.M.

3. The Minister for Public Works will also be present at that time.

Yours faithfully,


(Sd)

(V. Hanumanthappa)
for Secretary to Government,
L.S.G. & Planning Departments.

Memo No L 11546-8/16-12-54

Copy of the above is forwarded :-

- (1) The Personal Assistant to the Minister for P.H. & L.S.G.,
- (2) The Personal Assistant to the Minister for Public Works,
- (3) The Personal clerk to the Secretary to Government, L.S.G. & Planning Departments


(V. Hanumanthappa)
for Secretary to Government,
L.S.G. & Planning Departments

L.S.G. 6.10/12.

7 app -

(12)

Diary No of letter replied to 5958

General and Revenue Secretariat

()
the 193

Section C7

File No 17-54

Serial No 5

Proceedings of the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Date of issue

193

Read—

G.O NO. L 19/6-19/

C7 9-52-17 dated 24-5-1934

Signed by

Sanctioning the modified
estimate for Rs 150800/- as
against Rs 177000/- deleting
10/- among other things, the
10 / for want of sanction
of Government Throats, for the
Order thereon - No
Construction of the first
floor over the front rows
of shops in the Krishnarajendra
Market, Bangalore City
Representative
dated 23-10-34 from Mr
S Venkataappa, Contractor
to the Chief Minister

May this be—

- (i) printed ?
- (ii) treated as a standing order ?
- (iii) published in the Gazette,
English Part ?
- (iv) translated ? Hg C
- (v) placed at the disposal of the press ?
- (vi) published in the Gazette
Kannada Part ?

(13)

for restoring ten 10%
cut made in the tender
rate ~~made~~ made in
the tender rate for the
Construction of first floor,
K R Market, Bangalore

Order no L 12612 15/13 1 55

The Contractors
Sriyates S Venkatasappa and
A. Annivankatasappa had
originally agreed to execute
the Construction of the First
Floor of the K R Market
in city, at 10 percent less
than the tendered rates.
However, on a representation
made by the Contractors,
the Corporation recommended
that the ten percent cut
might be ~~restored~~ restored
and that the ~~original~~

List of Enclosure

Copied by

Examined by

MISORE GOVERNMENT
SECRETARIAT

(Continuation sheet draft form)

(14)

might be sanctioned
 in Rs 177000/- The Estimate
 was however sanctioned
 by the Chief Engineer for
 Rs 150800/- and the effect
 a ten percent reduction
 in respect of all the
 tendered items of work
 with a certain observation.
 No work has been
 completed and the Contractors
 have now represented
 that they have incurred
 heavy loss, that they were
 forced to accept 10 percent
 cut in their tendered
 rates much against
 their will and that
 the cut ought to be
 restored and their final
 claims settled accordingly.
 No Commission have,
 after a consideration

(15)

The request of the
Contractors have recommended
agreeing with the recommendations
of the Standing Committee
(Finance and Finance) and
the Standing Committee (Works)
that the 10% of the tender
amount deducted in the
tenders of the Contractors
might be paid to them

On a further

consideration of the

Several aspects of the

Case, Government ~~has~~ feel

~~that the request of the~~

~~Contractors for restoration~~

~~of the ten percent cut~~

~~is reasonable and may direct that~~

~~therefore be restored~~
~~it may be complied with~~

7/11
for Security
E.M. HEG
500

8/1/54

This matter was
also discussed
personally with
the Comd. Blom
Corp. and the
Corp. Engineer
on 7-12-54

amplifications that
the 10% cut in
respect tendered
items be restored
The Comd. Blom Corp.
is requested to take
necessary action to settle
the final claim
of the Contractor

Time Occurrence caps to 10 days
a P.L.
a Contd. P.H.
u And let C.B.

(16) (71)
PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF M.S.E

Read:-

Government Order No L 1216-19/C 19-52-17 dated 24-5-1954 sanctioning the modified estimate for Rs 1,50,800/- as against Rs 1,77,000/- deleting among other things, the 10% for want of sanction of Government thereto for the construction of the First Floor over the front row of shops in Sri Krishnarajendra Market, Bangalore City


2 Representation dated 29-10-1954 from Sri S Venkatasappa, contractor, to the Chief Minister, for restoring the 10% cut made in the tender rate for the construction of First Floor, Krishna-rajendra Market, Bangalore

ORDER No L 12612-15 / cm 17 54 - 5
DATED, BANGALORE THE 13th JANUARY 1955

The contractors Sriyuths S Venkatasappa and S. Hanumanthappa had originally agreed to execute the construction of the First floor of the Krishnarajendra Market, Bangalore City, at 10 per cent less than the tendered rates. However, on a representation made by the contractors, the Corporation recommended that the ten per cent cut might be restored and that the estimate might be sanctioned for Rs 1,77,000/-. The estimate was scrutinised by the Chief Engineer for Rs 1,50,800/- and effected a ten per cent reduction in respect of all the tendered items of work with certain observations. The work has been completed and the contractors have now represented that they have incurred heavy loss, that they were forced to accept 10 per cent cut in their tendered rates much against their will and that the cut might be restored and their final claims settled accordingly. The Corporation have after a consideration of the request of the contractors have recommended agreeing with the recommendations of the Standing Committee (Taxation and Finance) and the Standing Committee (Works) that the 10% of the tender amount deducted in the tenders of the contractors might be paid to them. This matter was also discussed personally with the Commissioner, Corporation of the City of Bangalore, and also the Corporation Engineer on 17-12-54.

2 On a further consideration of the several aspects of the case, Government are pleased to order that the 10% cut in respect of tendered items be restored.

3 The Commissioner, Corporation of the City of Bangalore, is requested to take necessary action to settle the final claims of the contractors.


(V. Hanumanthappa)
for Secretary to Government,
Education & L S G Departments

$$\begin{array}{r} N-9 \\ C-17 \\ \hline 26 \end{array}$$